

Jemima Stratford KC

YEAR OF CALL: 1993 YEAR OF SILK: 2010

"Jemima Stratford is always prepared, always strategic and knows just what (and how much) to say on any point, good or bad...an exemplary leading counsel"

Chambers & Partners 2026

Clerk's Email: TonysClerkingTeam@brickcourt.co.uk



Practice Overview

Jemima Stratford KC is widely recognised as a leading litigator with a broad practice in competition law, EU/international, public law and human rights. She is recommended in 6 practice areas by both Chambers UK and Legal 500. Recent directory quotes include *"massively clever"* *"a fearsome reputation"* and *"an excellent advocate"*.

Jemima's competition and EU/international expertise ranges from FRAND licensing to pharmaceuticals, and from free movement to financial services. She is regarded as one of the leading Silks in regulatory matters, including consumer law. Recent cases include leading the FCA team for the landmark motor finance commission challenges in the Supreme Court, appearing for Octopus in the Court of Appeal in the subsidy control JR following the collapse of Bulb, and acting for Flynn in defending against excessive pricing findings in the CAT and CA. Jemima has appeared in some 60 cases in the General Court and Court of Justice in Luxembourg.

Jemima's public law and human rights work have taken her to the Supreme Court and to the Grand Chamber of the ECtHR. Before taking silk, she was a member of the Attorney General's 'A' Panel. Jemima has been called to the Bar of Ireland, and therefore continues to appear in Luxembourg.

Competition

Competition law is a mainstay of Jemima's practice, with particular expertise in abuse of dominance, FRAND and regulated sectors.

- *Pfizer Incorporated & Anor v The Competition and Markets Authority*
- *Pfizer & Flynn v CMA (CAT and CA)*(excessive pricing of pharmaceutical)
- *Clare Spottiswoode v Nexans, Prysmian and NKT* (power cables opt-out claim)
- *Thames Water* (PR24)
- *MediaTek v Huawei* (FRAND and jurisdiction issues)
- *Northern Powergrid v CMA* (CMA regulatory appeal)
- *Sisvel v Oppo & Xiaomi* (FRAND and competition law issues)
- *Case T-449/21 Natixis SA v Commission* (Application for Annulment pending before General Court in Luxembourg concerning European Government Bonds)
- Acting for Ofgem in relation to retail price cap
- Acting for Ofwat before the CMA (2020-21)
- *Ipcom v Vodafone* (FRAND and competition law issues)
- *Nokia v Apple* (FRAND and competition law issues)
- *Pfizer and Flynn Pharma v CMA* [2017] CAT 7 (acting for British Generics Manufacturers Association seeking to intervene)
- Acting for group of retailers in Visa and Mastercard Litigation
- Acting for company in relation to CMA investigation into alleged excessive pricing of pharmaceuticals
- *Ericsson v Apple* (FRAND and competition law issues)
- *Unwired Planet v Samsung, Google and Huawei* (FRAND and competition law issues)
- *Arriva v Luton Airport* [2014] EWHC 64 (Ch) (dominance of airport operator and bus services; instructed for appeal)
- *Nokia v Sierra Wireless SA* (arbitration)
- *Nokia v HTC* (FRAND and competition law issues)
- *Philips v Amino* [2008] EWHC 2604 (Pat) (patent and competition law claim concerning set-top boxes)
- *Ericsson v Samsung* [2007] EWHC 1047 (Pat) (licensing of patents on FRAND terms)
- *Independent Media Support Ltd v Ofcom, Red Bee Media Ltd and BBC* [2008] CAT 13
- *Phonographic Performance Ltd v DTI & AG* [2004] 1 WLR 2893 (Ch Div.) (limitation periods and abuse of process in EU damages claim)

- Case C-245/00 *SENA v NOS* (ECJ) [2003] ECR I-1251 (rental and lending rights)

Public Law

Jemima enjoys acting for commercial clients in complex regulatory challenges as much as she does appearing for individuals and NGOs. For defendants she acts for central Government departments, for a range of regulators and for local authorities.

- *R (British Gas Trading and others) v S/S for Energy and Octopus Energy Group Ltd and others* (subsidy control JR following collapse of Bulb Energy) (CA)
- *Hopcraft, Wrench and Johnson v Close Bros and FirstRand Bank* (Supreme Court ruling on motor finance commission)
- *R (Clydesdale) v FOS and FCA Admin Ct and CA* (challenge to FOS lead case on motor finance commission)
- *Thames Water* (PR24 and Dividend Investigation)
- *R (Al-Haq) v Sec. of State* (arms exports to Israel) (Div Ct, CA)
- *R (Hughes and ors) v Board of the Pension Protection Fund* (scheme to protect pension benefits following employer insolvency) (CA)
- *R (CAAT) v Sec. of State for International Trade* (legality of arms sales to Saudi Arabia) (Div Ct, CA and SC)
- *R (Bayer) v 12 CCGs* (legality of policy preferring use of unlicensed medicine for treatment of eye condition wet AMD) (High Court and CA)
- Acting for Ofwat in challenges by four water companies to regulatory price review (2020-21)
- *In Re Provident Investment Management* (Guernsey disciplinary proceedings against director following Ponzi-scheme style fraud raising natural justice and human rights issues)
- Acting for Whirlpool in relation to defective tumble dryers and another confidential white goods issue. Possible statutory appeal or judicial review of various decisions by OPSS (the Office for Product Safety).
- *R (Orion) v MHRA* (authorisation of post-operative sedative medicine based on pre-EU accession Czech data)
- *R (Teva) v Sec. of State for Health & Biogen* (binding nature of recital to Commission Decision and global marketing authorisation concept – Tecfidera)
- *BMS v NICE* (funding of cancer drug dasatinib)
- *R (Luton and ors) v Sec. of State for Education* (Building Schools for the Future)
- *R (Philip Morris and ors) v Sec. of State for Health* (tobacco display ban)

- *Celgene v NICE* June 2010 (availability of rare cancer drug)
- *AE, AF and AN v Secretary of State for the Home Department* (HL) The Times 11 June 2009 (fairness of control order hearings)
- *R (Gentle and Clarke) v The Prime Minister and ors* (HL) [2008] 2 WLR 879 (whether Art 2 ECHR requires an inquiry into the legality of the war in Iraq)
- *Jones v Saudi Arabia and ors.; Mitchell v Al-Dali and ors.* (HL) [2006] 2 WLR 1424 (operation of state immunity in civil proceedings alleging torture)
- *Council for Regulation of Healthcare Professionals v GMC and Prof. Southall* (Admin Ct) [2005] EWHC 579 (Admin) (appeal against professor of paediatrics arising out of Sally Clarke case)
- *CRHP v GMC and Basiouny* (Admin Ct) The Times 7 February 2005 (developed legal test for undue leniency appeals)
- *CRHP v GMC and Leeper* (Admin Ct) The Times 1 September 2004 (undue leniency appeal)
- *CHRP v GMC and Ruscillo* (Admin Ct and Court of Appeal) [2004] 1 WLR 2068 [2005] 1 WLR 717 (first appeal under 2002 Act concerning serious professional misconduct charges against doctor)
- *CRHP v GMC and Solanke* [2004] 1 WLR 2432 (set legal test for undue leniency appeals in healthcare field)
- *R (T-Mobile and ors.) v Competition Commission and ors.* (High Court) [2003] All ER (D) 377 (regulation of mobile phone termination charges)
- *R (CND) v Prime Minister and ors.* (Div. Ct) [2002] All ER (D) 245 (declaration sought concerning UN Resolution on Iraq)
- *R (Smeaton) v Secretary of State for Health and ors* [2002] 2 FLR 146, The Times 2 May 2002 ("morning after pill" case)
- *R v The Department of Health, ex parte Source Informatics Ltd* (CA) [2001] QB 424, [2000] EuLR 397, [2000] 1 All ER 786, The Times 18 January 2000 (use of anonymised patient information)

International/EU

Jemima's extensive EU law and international experience includes litigation before both domestic and overseas courts. Tier 1 ranked, she relishes working for a wide range of clients from many sectors. With her call to the Irish Bar, she retains rights of audience in Luxembourg, and following Brexit she has been appointed as an arbitrator for the Withdrawal Agreement Arbitration Panel.

- Case T-453/21 *Natixis, Bank of America, Nomura and others v European Commission* (Bonds

cartel and time-bar for Commission fining)

- Case C-726/22 P *Commission v Pollinis* (access to environmental information)
- Case T-223/20 *Orion v various European medicines agencies* (Lead counsel in Luxembourg and coordinating pan-European challenges to decisions to authorise a generic copy of client's post-operative sedative medicine based on pre-EU accession Czech data)
- Case C-457/18 *Slovenia v Croatia* (appeared for Croatia in bilateral territorial dispute between Croatia and Slovenia which has been unresolved since the break-up of the former Yugoslavia; rare inter-state dispute before the CJEU)
- *R (Hughes and ors) v Board of the Pension Protection Fund* (legality of implementation of EU Directive on protection of employees following employer insolvency) (CA)
- *Novartis v Mylan* (Patent Court claim concerning SPC and Paediatric Regulations – Exjade)
- Case C-458/19P *ClientEarth v Commission* (acting for environmental NGO ClientEarth in case concerning recycling of dangerous chemicals)
- Case C-146 & 147/13 *Spain v Counsel* (challenge to the Unified Patent Convention)
- Case C-15/10 *Etimine v Sec. of State for Work and Pensions & Borax* (regulation of chemicals)
- Joined Cases C-483/09 and C-1/10 *Gueye and Sanchez* (standing of victims in criminal proceedings)
- Case C-240/09 *Lesoochranske* (ECJ) 8 March 2011 (status of mixed agreement, the Aarhus Convention, in EU law)
- Case C-343/09 *Afton Chemical* (ECJ) 8 July 2010 (legality of ban on fuel additive)
- Case C-555/07 *Kucukdeveci* (ECJ) 19 January 2010 (age discrimination and horizontal direct effect)
- Case T-246/08 *Melli Bank plc v Council* (CFI) 9 July 2009 (Iranian sanctions)
- Case C-345/06 *Heinrich* (ECJ) 10 March 2009 (legality of secret annex to regulation)
- Joined Cases C-585/08 and C-144/09 *Pammer and Hotel Alpenhof* (Brussels Regulation)
- Case C-524/06 *Huber* (ECJ) 16 December 2008 (data processing in state register of foreign nationals)
- Joined Cases C-39/05 P and C-52/05 P *Sweden and Turco v Council* (CFI and ECJ), *The Times*, 27.7.08 (access to legal opinion)
- *Spencer v Sec. of State for Work and Pensions* ; *Moore v Sec. of State for Transport* (CA) [2009] 2 WLR 593 (compatibility of limitation period with principle of equivalence)
- *Byrne v Sec. of State for Transport and MIB* (CA) [2008] 3 WLR 1421 (Francovich damages claim)
- Case C-64/05 P *IFAW* (ECJ), *The Times* 11.1.08 (access to Member State documents)

- Case C-112/03 *SFIP* (ECJ) [2005] ECR I-3707 (Brussels Convention)
- Case C-491/01 *R (Imperial Tobacco and ors) v Secretary of State for Health* (ECJ) [2002] ECR I11453 (legal base for Directive)
- *Ingmar Ltd v Eaton Leonard Inc* (QBD) [2001] EuLR 755 (approach to calculation of compensation under the Commercial Agents Regulations)
- *Tamarind International Ltd and others v Eastern Natural Gas (Retail) Ltd and another* (Commercial Court) [2000] CLC 1397, *The Times* 12 June 2000 (applicability of Commercial Agents Regulations to gas and electricity sales agents)
- Case C-101/01 *Bodil Lindqvist* (ECJ) [2003] ECR I-12971 (first case on Data Protection Directive before ECJ)
- *R (Lambiris) v Specialist Training Authority* (High Court) [2002] All ER (D) 503, [2002] EuLR 591 (CA) 8 May 2003 (recognition of specialist medical qualifications)
- *R v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry and ors, ex parte Imperial Tobacco & ors* (Admin Ct) *The Times* 29 October 1999; (CA) [2002] QB 161, [2000] 1 AllER 572, *The Times* 17 December 1999; (HL) [2001] 1 WLR 127, *The Times* 20 December 2000; (ECJ) *The Times* 10 October 2000 (lack of competence for Directive and power of domestic courts to grant injunction)
- Case C-507/12 *Jessy St Prix v Sec. State for Work and Pensions* (rights of pregnant women to retain 'worker' status)
- Case C-403/08 *Football Association Premier League* (ECJ) (free movement of satellite decoder cards)
- Case C-1/05 *Jia* (ECJ) [2007] ECR I-0001 (free movement rights and right to residence)
- *Foulser v Macdougall* (HMIT) [2007] EWCA Civ 8 (High Court and CA) (freedom of establishment and tax avoidance scheme)
- Case C - 374/04 *Test Claimants in Class IV of the ACT Group Litigation* (ECJ) [2006] ECR I-11673 (freedom of establishment and dividends)
- Case C-208/00 *Uberseering v NCC Nordic Construction* (ECJ) [2002] ECR I- 9919 (freedom of establishment for companies)

human rights

Human rights has been an important plank of Jemima's practice throughout her career, and she has extensive experience of acting for both claimants (including NGOs) and respondent States.

- *Guernsey Financial Services Commission v Domaille* (Article 6 and financial services regulation)

- *R (Al-Haq) v Sec. of State* (arms exports to Israel) (Div Ct, CA)
- *Provident Investment Management and ors* (Guernsey High Court and Court of Appeal) (disciplinary proceedings and Article 6)
- *R (CAAT) v Sec. of State for International Trade* (legality of arms sales to Saudi Arabia)
- *Tan Seng Kee v Attorney General* (Singapore CA) (constitutional challenge to the criminalisation of homosexuality in Singapore)
- *Bancoult v UK* (ECtHR) (intervening for Human Rights Watch and MRG in Chagos Islanders case)
- *Jones v UK* (ECtHR) (state immunity and torture)
- *LR v UK* (ECtHR) (human trafficking)
- *AE, AF and AN v Secretary of State for the Home Department* (HL) The Times 11 June 2009 (fairness of control order hearings)
- *RP v UK* (ECtHR) (fairness of role of Official Solicitor in child care proceedings)
- *R.K. and A.K. v United Kingdom* (ECtHR) (30.09.08) (Strasbourg cases arising out of HL judgment in *D v East Berkshire*)
- *R (Gentle and Clarke) v The Prime Minister and ors* (HL) [2008] 2 WLR 879 (whether Art 2 ECHR requires an inquiry into the legality of the war in Iraq)
- *Entico v UNESCO* [2008] EWHC 531 (immunity of UNESCO and Article 6 ECHR)
- *Association SOS Attentats and anor. v France* (ECtHR) (25.10.06) (Intervention for UK in case concerning state immunity and Libyan terrorist attack)
- *Grant v UK* (ECtHR) (23 May 2006) (rights of a transsexual)
- *R (Hooper and ors) v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* (High Court, Court of Appeal and House of Lords) [2002] UKHRR 785, The Times 28 June 2003, [2003] 3 All ER 673, [2003] 1 WLR 2623, [2005] 1 WLR 1681 (test cases concerning widows' benefits under the Human Rights Act 1998)
- *Bullerwell v UK* (ECtHR) 12 December 2002 (length of proceedings)
- *Roche v UK* (ECtHR) The Times, October 27, 2005 (testing at Porton Down of mustard gas and nerve agent; violation of Article 8 found due to failure to provide information)
- *Z v UK* (ECtHR) (2002) 34 EHRR 3, The Times 31 May 2001; *TP & KM v UK* (ECtHR) The Times 31 May 2001 (right to a fair hearing and claims in tort against public authorities - overturned *Osman v UK*)
- *Kingsley v United Kingdom* (EctHR) (2002) 33 EHRR 13, The Times 9 January 2001 and Grand Chamber Judgment, 28 May 2002 (independent and impartial tribunal and leading judgment on just satisfaction in Article 6 cases)

- *Stefan v UK* (ECHR) (1997) 25 EHRR CD 130 (GMC Health Committee proceedings not contrary to Art. 6)

Public international law

Jemima's public international law clients range from NGOs (HRW, Amnesty, HDT), through international organisations (e.g. EBRD) to States (UK, US, Croatia). She has particular expertise in the intersection between PIL and human rights law.

- *R (Al-Haq) v Sec. of State* (arms exports to Israel) (Div Ct, CA)
- *R (CAAT) v Sec. of State for International Trade* (legality of arms sales to Saudi Arabia) (Div Ct, CA and SC)
- *Jones v UK* (ECtHR) (state immunity and torture)
- Advising US Government on State immunity and human rights issues including in context of employment law claims
- Advising International Organisations on State immunity issues
- *Entico v UNESCO* [2008] EWHC 531 (immunity of UNESCO and Article 6 ECHR)
- *Association SOS Attentats and anor. v France* (ECtHR) (25.10.06) (Intervention for UK in case concerning state immunity and Libyan terrorist attack)
- Case C-240/09 *Lesoochranske* (ECJ) 8 March 2011 (status of mixed agreement, the Aarhus Convention, in EU law)

Pharmaceuticals

Jemima is widely regarded as a preeminent Silk in pharmaceuticals. She has appeared in very many of the leading cases both domestically and in Luxembourg.

- *Novartis v Mylan* (Patent Court claim concerning SPC and Paediatric Regulation – Exjade)
- *R (Bayer) v 12 CCGs* (legality of policy preferring use of unlicensed medicine for treatment of wet AMD) (High Court and CA)
- *R (Orion) v MHRA* (authorisation of post-operative sedative medicine based on pre-EU accession Czech data)
- *R (Teva) v Sec. of State for Health & Biogen* (binding nature of recital to Commission Decision and global marketing authorisation concept – Tecfidera)
- Case T-729/15 *MSD Animal Health v EMA* (access to pharmaceutical documents held by EU –

Bravecto)

- *Teva v Novartis* (Patent Court claim concerning SPC and Paediatric Regulation – Imatinib)
- *Accord v Astellas* (legality of reliance on German reference product – bendamustine)
- *Pfizer (Lyrica/Pregabalin)* (public law aspects of second medical use patent)
- Case T-67/13 *Novartis v Commission* (data exclusivity for cancer drug – Aclasta)
- Case C-477/11 P *Sepracor v Commission* (admissibility of challenge to decision about new active substance status – eszopiclone)
- Case C-130/11 *Neurim Pharmaceuticals* (SPC)
- *R v Patel & Hussain* (CA) [2010] 1 WLR 1011 (whether counterfeit viagra placed on the market in the UK)
- Case C-527/07 *Generics* (ECJ) 18 June 2009 (meaning of 'reference medicinal product' – galantamine)
- Case C-421/07 *Damgaard* (ECJ) 2 April 2009 (advertising of pharmaceuticals)
- Case C-452/06 *Synthon* (ECJ) 16 October 2008 (mutual recognition of pharmaceuticals)
- Case C-106/01 *R v MCA, ex parte Novartis* (High Court, CA and ECJ) [2004] ECR I-4403 (pharmaceutical marketing authorisations)
- Case C-94/98 *R v MCA, ex parte RPR* (High Court and ECJ) [1998] EuLR 127, [1998] EuLR 181, [1999] ECR I-8789 (parallel imports of pharmaceuticals and test for injunction)
- Case C-368/96 *R v MCA, ex parte Generics* (ECJ) [1998] ECR I-7967 (pharmaceutical marketing authorisations)

financial services

- *Hopcraft, Wrench and Johnson v Close Bros and FirstRand Bank* (Supreme Court ruling on motor finance commission)
- *Guernsey Financial Services Commission v Domaille* (Article 6 and financial services regulation)
- *In Re Provident Investment Management* (Guernsey disciplinary proceedings against director following Ponzi-scheme style fraud)
- Multiple pieces of advice to FCA about implementation of EU financial services legislation
- Advice to banks on implications of EU financial services legislation
- Case C-270/12 *UK v Parliament and Council* (challenge to Short Selling Regulation)
- *OFT v Abbey National and ors* (Commercial Court, CA and Supreme Court), [2009] UKSC 6

(test case concerning unauthorised overdraft charges)

- *Poole and ors. v HM Treasury* (Commercial Court and CA) The Times 1.12.06 (Group Litigation concerning Lloyd's Names)

Telecoms

- *Mediatek v Huawei* (FRAND including jurisdiction issues)
- Instructed by BT and Openreach in multiple matters over many years including e.g. Fixed Access Market Review and Charge Controls
- *Ipcom v Vodafone* (FRAND and competition law issues)
- *Nokia v Apple* (FRAND and competition law issues)
- *Ericsson v Apple* (FRAND and competition law issues)
- *Unwired Planet v Samsung, Google and Huawei* (FRAND and competition law issues)
- *Nokia v Sierra Wireless SA* (arbitration)
- *Nokia v HTC* (FRAND and competition law issues)
- *Philips v Amino* [2008] EWHC 2604 (Pat) (patent and competition law claim concerning set-top boxes)
- *Ericsson v Samsung* [2007] EWHC 1047 (Pat) (licensing of patents on fair, reasonable and nondiscriminatory terms)
- *R (T-Mobile and ors.) v Competition Commission and ors.* (High Court) [2003] All ER (D) 377 (regulation of mobile phone termination charges)

Qualifications & Further Information

Degree

BA (New College Oxford, Modern History First Class); Dip Law (City University, with Distinction)

Lectures/Seminars

Lecturer on European and competition law (King's College, London, Hart Publishing, Lexis-Nexis and Slynn Foundation); human rights (Foreign Office, Council of Europe and JUSTICE/Sweet & Maxwell); judicial review (Public Law Project, Lexis-Nexis).

Further Information

UK panel member for the Withdrawal Agreement Arbitration Panel

Trustee of Human Rights Watch UK

Member of lawforchange panel of experts

Member of HDT legal panel

JUSTICE, ALBA, Bar Human Rights Committee, Bar European Group.

Languages: good French; basic German

Consistently recommended in sections on EU/Competition law, administrative and public law, and civil liberties and human rights by Chambers and Partners and Legal 500. Recommended as stand-out barrister in life sciences section of Who's Who Legal.

Awards

Jemima was nominated as Competition silk of the year at the Legal 500 Bar Awards 2025.

Publications

Contributor to *Competition Litigation: UK Practice and Procedure* (OUP, 2010 & 2019)

Author of *Striking the Balance: Privacy v Freedom of Expression under the European Convention on Human Rights*, published in *Developing Key Privacy Rights* (Hart, 2002)

Co-author of *Competition: Understanding the 1998 Act* (Palladian, 1999)

Directory Quotes

Jemima Stratford KC is recommended in 6 practice areas by both Chambers UK and the Legal 500. She is also featured in Who's Who Legal and Chambers Global. A selection of more recent directory quotes are listed below.

2026:

- "Jemima Stratford is always prepared, always strategic and knows just what (and how much) to say on any point, good or bad." (Chambers & Partners 2026)
- "Jemima is an exemplary leading counsel." (Chambers & Partners 2026)

- "She is incredibly knowledgeable on the competition side. She's user-friendly and adds a lot of expertise." (Chambers & Partners 2026)
- "Jemima Stratford KC has a strong practice in pharmaceutical licensing law. She has acted for pharma companies in leading cases, including one concerning the procurement of COVID-19 antibody tests." (Chambers & Partners 2026)
- "Jemima is a very impressive lawyer in administrative and public law. She has a command of the materials and excellent client care, and she is very hard-working." (Chambers & Partners 2026)
- "Jemima is an excellent public law and regulatory silk, and always pleasant to work with." (Chambers & Partners 2026)
- "Jemima is massively clever." (Chambers & Partners 2026)
- "Jemima Stratford has a fearsome reputation." (Chambers & Partners 2026)
- "Jemima Stratford is a very measured and poised advocate." (Chambers & Partners 2026)
- "Jemima is a very experienced silk with a lot of EU knowledge; very keen to contribute and be collaborative." (Chambers & Partners 2026)
- "She is definitely a very careful European specialist. She does EU regulatory and public crossover." (Chambers & Partners 2026)
- "Jemima is an excellent administrative law and pharma regulatory advocate. Always excellently prepared, even at short notice, and presents key issues clearly." (Chambers & Partners 2026)
- "She is excellent - very meticulous and careful and a really good team player. She presents strong legal arguments." (Chambers & Partners 2026)
- "Jemima is easy to work with and collaborative. She can get up to speed very quickly and
- gets excellent results." (Chambers & Partners 2026)
- "Jemima is very calm and thoughtful, with a particular awareness of strategic and tactical questions that arise in being a third party intervener in a big case." (Legal 500 2026)
- "A leading silk specialising in post-EU relations and public law." (Legal 500 2026)
- "Jemima is an immensely respected senior silk, very strong both in public law and pharmaceuticals law, as well as in related competition law disputes. She is noted for her intellectual strength and integrity." (Legal 500 2026)

2025:

- "Jemima is phenomenal to work with. She has a calm demeanour but is ferociously intelligent and meticulously prepared." (Chambers & Partners 2025)
- "Jemima is a standout practitioner in the pharmaceutical space." (Chambers & Partners

2025)

- "Jemima is a very clever lawyer. She is very experienced in pharmaceutical regulation and has a lot of EU knowledge." (Chambers & Partners 2025)
- "Jemima is a first-rate lawyer. She is extremely dedicated and sharp, and completely reliable." (Chambers & Partners 2025)
- "She has great attention to detail. Her drafting is rigorous and meticulous. She has good knowledge of the law." (Chambers & Partners 2025)
- "Jemima is an excellent advocate." (Chambers & Partners 2025)
- "Jemima Stratford KC is a practical, balanced and very experienced barrister." (Chambers & Partners 2025)
- "A supremely clever silk." (Legal 500 2025)
- "Jemima is supremely clever and knows the legal areas in which she practises extremely well. She has excellent judgement as to which arguments are likely to impress the court and which are likely to go down less well." (Legal 500 2025)

2024:

- "Jemima has that rare mix of having regulatory and public law knowledge, whilst also being able to look at it through the commercial lens. She is succinct and persuasive in her advocacy." (Chambers & Partners 2024)
- "Jemima is very clever and clear in how she argues. She is very convincing." (Chambers & Partners 2024)
- "Jemima is a clear expert in EU law matters and gives clear and comprehensive advice within a short deadline." (Chambers & Partners 2024)
- "She is very proactive in getting the team to work coherently in complex matters. She is diplomatic and is fun to work with. She is intellectually astute and able to act for both claimant and defendant." (Chambers & Partners 2024)
- "Jemima Stratford is knowledgeable. She is clearly very accomplished." (Chambers & Partners 2024)
- "Jemima is 100% supportive and engaged in the work she carries out for us. She uses her juniors to good effect and is able to calm nerves, offer wise words of advice and counsel through tricky legal situations." (Chambers & Partners 2024)
- "Jemima is a phenomenally effective advocate because she prepares intensively and employs a careful, understated style in court. Judges respect what she says." (Legal 500 2024) (Chambers & Partners 2024)
- "Jemima is a very experienced competition silk, with an excellent and well deserved

reputation. She is commercially minded and quickly gets to the nub of the matter, with a constantly commercial perspective." (Legal 500 2024)

- "She is highly collaborative and a brilliant advocate." (Legal 500 2024)

2023:

- "Very diligent and very enlightening; she was crucial to the success of the case." (Chambers & Partners 2023)
- "She shows great leadership when teams of lawyers and multiple claimants are involved." (Chambers & Partners 2023)
- "Jemima is an absolute pleasure to work with. She is technically brilliant and her advice is clear, precise and sound." "She is really collegiate, very friendly and very bright." (Chambers & Partners 2023)
- "One of the most experienced and knowledgeable EU lawyers out there. She is an excellent advocate, too." (Chambers & Partners 2023)
- "She is a person who has exceptional facility for detail even though she is always busy doing impressive things. She is never too high and mighty to deal with questions of detail on a case." "She shows great leadership when teams of lawyers and multiple claimants are involved." (Chambers & Partners 2023)
- "Jemima is incredibly bright and very hard working." (Legal 500 2023)
- "Jemima gives very sensible and practical advice. She has natural leadership qualities and inspires confidence." (Legal 500 2023)

2022:

- "Jemima is a brilliant advocate. She is clear, succinct and persuasive." "She is very clever and does a thorough job." (Chambers & Partners 2022)
- "She provides invaluable insight in her work." "She is an extremely intelligent and measured advocate." (Chambers & Partners 2022)
- "A pleasure to work with; she is meticulous and thorough." "She is excellent: sensible, very down to earth and strategic in her approach." (Chambers & Partners 2022)
- "Jemima is a very strong EU lawyer who knows the relevant principles and case law inside out. She strikes me as having real strength in seeing the bigger picture and has very good judgement as to which arguments are likely to find favour with the court and achieve the client's commercial objectives." (Legal 500 2022)
- "Jemima is very bright, experienced, proactive and clear in her advice. She is an excellent choice for all competition matters." (Legal 500 2022)

- "An excellent analytical lawyer, careful and methodical, particularly persuasive on paper and trusted by the court. A sensible choice for any judicial review with a strong EU component, particularly pharma work." (Legal 500 2022)

2021:

- "She's excellent; very smart and on the ball." "She's phenomenally clever." (Chambers & Partners 2021)
- "An amazing lawyer: she has a really good understanding of the law, and thinks through the principles to get to the practical answer. She has good judgement and is able to put her arguments forward in a persuasive and logical way." "Very good on the overlap of EU competition law and public law." (Chambers & Partners 2021)
- "She is very thorough and has really in-depth knowledge of the subject." (Chambers & Partners 2021)
- "Fantastically sharp and plain-speaking, with huge experience in her field." (Legal 500 2021)
- "She is willing to roll up her sleeves and get involved in practical concerns that arise in complex cases." (Legal 500 2021)
- "Has a supportive and intellectual approach to international human rights litigation work." (Legal 500 2021)

2020:

- "Jemima Stratford KC is a highly adept silk with experience in disputes across various sectors including pharmaceuticals, chemicals and healthcare". (Who's Who Legal - UK Bar: Life Sciences 2020)
- "Counsels clients in public and administrative law challenges pursued under EU law. She is especially well versed in challenges involving pharmaceutical regulation, including the authorisation of new drugs. Other recent public law mandates include cases concerning transportation and international sanctions." "Exceptionally bright, collaborative, strategic and commercial. She has excellent industry knowledge in key areas." "She knows the regulatory background very well and is easy to work with. She went down very well with the judge as she was very measured and calm." (Chambers & Partners 2020)
- "She has cultivated a highly respected practice in the field of civil liberties and human rights. She regularly handles an array of high-profile, significant cases, covering areas such as the rights of pregnant workers, state immunity rules and torture allegations. She appears before Employment Tribunals, the High Court and Supreme Court as well as the ECtHR." "She shows great strategic leadership." "Incredibly hard-working and focused." (Chambers & Partners 2020)
- "Knows pharmaceuticals law like the back of her hand" and has "good strategic nous. She

works incredibly hard and presents her parts of the case very clearly." "She is very measured and calm in court, which judges respond well to." (Chambers & Partners 2020)

2019:

- "Jemima Stratford KC is a highly experienced and impressive barrister who regularly represents clients in highly technical pharma and chemical cases. She has expertise representing clients both domestically and internationally" (Who's Who Legal - UK Bar: Life Sciences 2019)
- "Very clever and someone whose written work is beautiful." "She has good strategic nous." (Chambers & Partners 2019)
- "She is exceptionally able, extremely personable and a delight to deal with." (Chambers & Partners 2019)
- "Very responsive and has a client-focused approach." (Chambers & Partners UK & Global 2019)
- "She is a very impressive and strategically sound silk." (Chambers & Partners 2019)
- "A measured advocate with strong EU and public law skills and sector knowledge." (The Legal 500 2018-19)
- "Always prepared to argue difficult cases." (The Legal 500 2018-19)
- "Thinks carefully about the issues and a good team player. Top-level experience of SEP/FRAND issues." (The Legal 500 2018-19)
- "Practical, calm, thoughtful, and a good team player." (The Legal 500 2018-19)

2018:

- The "outstanding" Jemima Stratford KC is "a top silk" who is highly recommended thanks to her strong technical expertise and superb style of delivery. (Who's Who Legal 2018 - Life Sciences)
- "Very methodical, easy to work with and very clear drafting." (Chambers & Partners 2018)
- "She is supremely clever and as sharp as a knife." (Chambers & Partners 2018)
- "We found Jemima to be extremely easy to work with, and we value her thorough and thoughtful advice." (Chambers & Partners 2018)
- "She is very responsive, commercial and pragmatic and she can see things other people would miss." (Chambers & Partners 2018)

2017:

- "Absolutely first-rate." (The Legal 500 2017)
- "A fearless advocate, who is always prepared to take difficult points." (The Legal 500 2017)
- "A very clear thinker, who is easy to work with and delivers thoughtful advice." (The Legal 500 2017)
- "Simply terrific." (The Legal 500 2017)
- "Jemima has excellent judgement, is very responsive, highly proactive and incredibly hard-working." (Chambers & Partners 2017)
- "She is able to cut through a lot of dry and academic material with ease and is extremely user-friendly." (Chambers & Partners 2017)
- "She is extremely hard-working and never misses deadlines. She is always well prepared for any case and her advice is very measured and thorough." (Chambers & Partners 2017)
- "She is a very capable leader of a team and gives good direction." (Chambers & Partners 2017)

2016:

- "Extremely knowledgeable and passionate." (The Legal 500 2016)
- "Brings real depth to her submissions while keeping judges firmly on board." (The Legal 500 2016)
- "A client-friendly silk with superb analytical skills." (The Legal 500 2016)
- "She is phenomenal - really thorough, personable and a brilliant advocate. She can relate well to her clients and they have full confidence in her." (Chambers & Partners 2016)
- "I have never known her to be less than 110% prepared. She is very thoughtful and succinct and always goes out of her way to help." (Chambers & Partners 2016)
- "Very clever and a great strategist." She is "fantastically knowledgeable." (Chambers & Partners 2016)
- "Absolutely excellent; she is very knowledgeable in a wide range of EU law matters because she does the human side of EU law as well." (Chambers & Partners 2016)
- "Jemima has depth of knowledge and she's a very clear advocate, particularly in European courts." "She is known for being intellectually strong." (Chambers & Partners 2016)

Languages

French, basic German
